

EXHIBIT 4

1 DAVID R. EBERHART (S.B. #195474)
 2 deberhart@omm.com
 3 JAMES K. ROTHSTEIN (S.B. #267962)
 4 jrothstein@omm.com
 5 DANIEL H. LEIGH (S.B. #310673)
 6 dleigh@omm.com
 7 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
 8 Two Embarcadero Center
 9 28th Floor
 10 San Francisco, California 94111-3823
 11 Telephone: +1 415 984 8700
 12 Facsimile: +1 415 984 8701

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 14 ELASTICSEARCH, INC. and
 15 ELASTICSEARCH B.V.

16 KWUN BHANSALI LAZARUS LLP
 17 MICHAEL S. KWUN (SBN 198945)
 18 mkwun@kblfirm.com
 19 555 Montgomery St., Suite 750
 20 San Francisco, CA 94111
 21 Telephone: 415 630-2350
 22 Facsimile: 415 367-1539

23 WUERSCH & GERING LLP
 24 V. DAVID RIVKIN (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 25 david.rivkin@wg-law.com
 26 JOHN A. SMITTEN (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 27 john.smitten@wg-law.com
 28 100 Wall St., 10th Fl.
 New York, NY 10005
 Telephone: 212 509-5050
 Facsimile: 212 509-9559

Attorneys for Defendant
 FLORAGUNN GmbH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

ELASTICSEARCH, INC., a Delaware
 corporation, and ELASTICSEARCH B.V., a
 Dutch corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FLORAGUNN GmbH, a German corporation,
 Defendant.

Case No. 4:19-cv-05553-YGR

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER REGARDING H. SALY**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, defendant floragunn GmbH (“defendant”) and plaintiffs Elasticsearch, Inc. and elasticsearch B.V. (“plaintiffs”), by and through their attorneys of record, stipulate and move as follows:

WHEREAS, through this stipulation, the parties seek an order regarding their resolution of a dispute regarding the deposition of Hendrik Saly, the Chief Technology Officer for defendant, who was identified by defendant in its initial disclosures as a material witness;

WHEREAS, on November 25, 2020, plaintiffs proposed to defendant a date for Mr. Saly’s deposition;

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2020, defendant represented to plaintiffs that (1) Mr. Saly was currently on leave from his employment by defendant, (2) Mr. Saly had been on such leave continuously since March 2020, (3) defendant did not know if or when Mr. Saly would return from leave; and (4) defendant is unable to make Mr. Saly available to be deposed unless and until he returns from leave;

WHEREAS, defendant (1) has provided plaintiffs with documentation supporting the basis for Mr. Saly’s leave through January 27, 2021; and (2) has represented to plaintiffs that (a) additional documentation showing the basis for Mr. Saly’s leave for periods past January 27, 2021 is expected to be provided to defendant; (b) defendant does not anticipate that Mr. Saly will be able to return from leave to be deposed before March 19, 2021;

Deleted: exist

WHEREAS, plaintiffs contend that they will be unduly prejudiced if defendant’s experts rely on information provided by Mr. Saly, because Mr. Saly will not be subject to cross examination;

WHEREAS, defendant has represented that (1) Mr. Saly has not provided and will not provide information to defendant’s experts; and (2) defendant’s experts will not rely on any information from Mr. Saly, whether obtained directly or indirectly, as a basis for any of their opinions or otherwise;

WHEREAS, the parties agree and stipulate that, in lieu of plaintiffs moving the Court to order defendant to make Mr. Saly available for deposition or bar use of information from Mr. Saly, and in order to prevent the prejudice to plaintiffs identified above, (1) Mr. Saly shall be

1 prohibited from providing, either directly or indirectly, any assistance, information, or evidence
 2 to any of defendant's testifying expert witnesses in this matter; (2) defendant's testifying experts
 3 shall be prohibited from relying on any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly or
 4 indirectly, by Mr. Saly; (3) should Mr. Saly become available to testify at trial, the parties will
 5 meet and confer in an effort to agree on procedures that would eliminate prejudice to plaintiffs
 6 from Mr. Saly's late availability; but (4) in no event shall defendant's testifying experts rely on
 7 any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; and
 8 (5) notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant and its experts shall be permitted to use documents
 9 and communications created by, or within the custody of, Mr. Saly, that both (a) predate Mr.
 10 Saly's leave from his employment, and (b) were produced by defendant to plaintiffs in this
 11 litigation; (c) or are otherwise available to plaintiff.

12 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court order that (1) Mr.
 13 Hendrik Saly shall be prohibited from providing, either directly or indirectly, any assistance,
 14 information, or evidence to any of defendant's testifying expert witnesses in this matter;
 15 (2) defendant's testifying experts shall be prohibited from relying on any assistance, information,
 16 or evidence provided, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; (3) should Mr. Saly become available
 17 to testify at trial, the parties will meet and confer in an effort to agree on procedures that would
 18 eliminate prejudice to plaintiffs from Mr. Saly's late availability; but (4) in no event shall
 19 defendant's testifying experts rely on any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly
 20 or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; and (5) notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant and its experts shall
 21 be permitted to use documents and communications created by, or within the custody of, Mr.
 22 Saly, that both (a) predate Mr. Saly's leave from his employment, and (b) were produced by
 23 defendant to plaintiffs in this litigation, or (c) otherwise available to plaintiff.

24
 25 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
 26
 27
 28

1 Dated: February 22, 2021

DAVID R. EBERHART
JAMES K. ROTHSTEIN
DANIEL H. LEIGH
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

2
3
4 By: /s/ DRAFT
5 David R. Eberhart

6 Attorneys for plaintiffs
7 ELASTICSEARCH, INC. and
8 ELASTICSEARCH B.V.

9
10 Dated: February 22, 2021

WUERSCH & GERING LLP
V. DAVID RIVKIN

11
12 By: /s/ DRAFT
13 V. David Rivkin

14 Attorneys for defendant
15 FLORAGUNN GmbH
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **ATTESTATION**

2 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I hereby attest that
3 concurrence in the filing of these documents has been obtained from the other signatory.
4

5 Dated: February 22, 2021 /s/ David R. Eberhart
6 David R. Eberhart
7

8
9 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
10

11 Dated: _____
12 Hon. Yvonne Gonzales Rogers
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28